# Writing a Career Development/K Award

Jonathan Katz, MD
Associate Professor of Medicine
Department of Medicine, Gastroenterology Division

#### NIH K Awards

(K01, K08, K23, K99/R00, and others – also R03; vary by institute) http://grants.nih.gov/training/careerdevelopmentawards.htm

- K01 Mentored Research Scientist Development Award
  - Career development in a new area of research; 3-5 years; salary determined by sponsoring institution
- K08 Mentored Clinical Scientist Development Award
  - Career development of the clinical research scientist; 3-5 years; 75% effort
- K23 Mentored Patient Oriented Research Career Development Award
  - Career development of the clinical research scientist in patient oriented research; 3-5 years; 75% effort
- K99/R00 Pathway to Independence (PI) Award
  - Support for individuals with a terminal clinical or research doctorate degree to foster the transition of
    postdoctoral scientists from mentored training environments to research independence (R01 support)
    earlier in their career; up to 5 years
    - Mentored Phase (K99); up to 2 years
    - Independent Investigator Phase (R00); up to 3 years
  - K99-R00 Transition
    - Evaluation by NIH extramural program staff
      - Success in K99 phase
      - Commitment of candidate's institution to his/her career development
    - Extramural institutional appointment full-time tenure-track position at the assistant professor level (or equivalent) not contingent on transfer of the K99/R00 award

### Cover letter

- Request Institute(s) and/or Center(s) for funding consideration
- Request IRG (study section) for review
- Specify <u>type</u> of reviewer who should review the grant (do not name names – they will be excluded!)
- Can specify reviewers who should be excluded (e.g. competitors) but be careful

See also http://www.niaid.nih.gov/researchfunding/grant/strategy/pages/4coverletter.aspx

## Candidate's background and career development

- Use the candidate's background to tie things together
  - How did your interest in the themes of your grant developed (i.e. medical school to residency to fellowship, etc.)?
  - How do your various achievements support your ability to become an independent investigator?
  - Address any potential concerns in your application (e.g. a few years where you focused on something else, were exclusively clinical, etc.).
- Propose a career development plan that is distinct from what you are doing now
  - Address gaps in your knowledge
    - Additional coursework, workshops, etc.
    - New techniques from mentors, collaborators
    - Including a table with a time-course is very helpful
  - Don't simply propose to go to lab meetings and other group meetings if you don't convince reviewers that you need additional training and mentorship, reviewers may question why you are applying for a career development grant and not an independent award!

## Developing your proposal and specific aims

http://public.csr.nih.gov/aboutcsr/NewsAPublications/Publications/Pages/InsidersGuide.aspxnd

- Make your aims hypothesis-driven whenever possible.
- Propose mechanistic aims (avoid language like "we will look for.." in favor of language like "we will define...").
- Avoid contingent aims (i.e. if aim 1 is not successful, aims 2 and 3 cannot be performed e.g. aim 1 is identifying targets by next generation sequencing and aims 2 and 3 are validating those targets).
- Don't be unfocused or "overly ambitious" don't propose more than you can reasonably accomplish in your K-award time-frame.
- Limit your aims and sub-aims there is no correct number but 2-3 is typical.

#### Letters of Reference

- Minimum of 3, no more than 5 letters submitted directly through eRA Commons and due by the application receipt deadline date
- Choose well-established scientists with a personal connection who can address your strengths and potential to become an independent investigator <u>letters</u> <u>should be strong, personal, and specific</u>.
- Keep in mind those individuals who may be expected to write letters (e.g. thesis advisor, prior postdoctoral mentor) and think carefully before excluding them.
- Take the initiative to track the letters and send reminders (your letter writers are busy and your letter may not be their top priority; it is your responsibility to ensure that your letters are submitted on time).

## Statements by the mentor(s)

- Mentor's (and Co-Mentor's) track record of successful mentoring of trainees
- Nature of the supervision and mentoring including metrics for monitoring the candidate's research, publications, and progression towards independence
- Description of the advisory committee
- Plan for career progression of the candidate from the mentored stage to an independent research investigator how your career path will be distinct from that of your mentor?
- Clear statement of what aspects of the proposed research the candidate will be able to take into an independent position

### Institutional Commitment

- Institutional commitment should **NOT** be contingent upon receipt of the career development award.
- Letter must contain assurances that the candidate will be able to devote a minimum of 75% effort (i.e. 9 person-months) to research.
- Description of office and laboratory space, equipment, and other resources and facilities (including access to clinical and/or other research populations, cores, and other facilities) to carry out the proposed research.

## A few other key points

- Write for an experienced scientist but not necessarily an expert in your field
- Refer to the NIH guidelines
  - For example, the NIH gives clear guidelines for points to include in RCR and vertebrate animals sections – use these
- Don't propose more than you can do in the allotted time
- Stay focused throughout your application training and research plan should fit together like a hand in a glove
- Review the NIH review criteria for your grant mechanism (think like a reviewer!)
  - http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/k.htm

- What does a study section do? How does it work?
  - A typical study section may have more than 30 members, of whom only three or so will be assigned to read your entire grant. Most reviewers will likely not have read your grant in its entirely.
- How is my grant scored?
- What documents will I receive from the review?
- Should I keep modifying my grant or embark on an entirely direction?
  - NIH policy as of April 2014 "NIH now allows following an unsuccessful resubmission (A1) application, applicants may submit the same idea as a new (A0) application for the next appropriate due date" <a href="http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/amendedapps.htm">http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/amendedapps.htm</a>

#### Whom do I contact with questions?

```
Prior to submission – Program Officer*
example of question:
"Is my grant more appropriate for a K08 or K23 mechanism?"
```

After submission but before review – Scientific Review Officer example of question:

"What is the deadline to submit supplementary information?"

```
After review – Program Officer*
example of question:
"What is the likelihood of funding?"
"What should I do for my resubmission?"
```

\*Get to know your Program Officer.

## Panel discussion: Other topics, questions?

A training matrix of the proposed career development activities is below.

A training matrix of the proposed earest development detivities is below:			
	T32/Current Achievements	K99 GoalsYear 1	K99 Goals-Year 2
Research	C. elegans techniques and genetics	Specific Aim 1:	Specific Aim 2:
(85% effort)	Lineaging analysis w/ confocal microscopy	CRISPR/Cas gene targeting	Computational enhancer
	Recombineering	ChIP-seq	identification
	Transgenesis by germline injection	HTS data analysis	Enhancer assays
Coursework	CSHL Genomics course	Penn Bioinformatics course	Attend BPP seminars
	Penn Programming Bootcamp	Attend BPP seminars	
	College Teaching for Postdocs course		
Teaching &	Founded Penn Education Journal Club	Education Journal Club	Education Journal Club
Mentorship	PGFI Undergraduate Outreach lecture	Mentor undergraduates	Mentor undergraduates
(5% effort)	Mentored undergraduates	Teach GCB534 grad lecture	Supervise technician
Grant	F32 Fellowship application	Assist with NIH/NSF applications	NIH R21
Writing	R01 application participation		
(10% effort)	Grant writing workshops		
Presentation	International C. elegans Meeting talk	Society for Developmental Biology	GSA Model Organism Meeting
Skills	Genetics Trainee seminars	Philadelphia/NJ Worm Group	Genetics Trainee seminars
	Developmental Biology Club	Genetics Trainee seminars	Developmental Biology Club
	Penn Worm Group	Developmental Biology Club	Penn Worm Group
	Penn Postdoctoral Research Symposium	Penn Worm Group	
Job Search	Prepared teaching philosophy statement	Applications	Interviews
		Career Services workshops	